



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,199	04/26/2001	James E. Veres	MSFT-0245/154792.2	MSFT-0245/154792.2 8823	
41505	7590 06/29/2005		EXAMINER FOWLKES, ANDRE R		
	CK WASHBURN LLP TY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR	t.			
PHILADELP	HIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2192		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/20/200	DATE MAIL ED: 06/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/843,199	VERES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andre R. Fowlkes	2192				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ma 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer access and the specific sheet of the specific sh	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						



Art Unit: 2192

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed 3/30/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by London Shrader et al., (London Shrader), U.S. Patent No. 5,870,611.

As per claim 1, London Shrader discloses a system for managing application installation operations, a method of communicating with an application, (col. 1:18-21, "This invention relates generally to electronic distribution of software in computer networks. More particularly, the invention relates to defining and constructing a proposed plan object for installing the software on the network"), comprising:

- receiving from the application a call to set a property related to performing an application installation operation (col. 7:21-30, "The Installation, Configuration, Removal or Reinstallation commands attributes 106-112 provide the means to process the application-in-plan object on the workstation-in-plan objects in the workstation group-in-plan object in the Plan object. These attributes (i.e. properties)

Art Unit: 2192

help to uniquely identify the application from other applications and to specify the commands to execute for the specified action, e.g., installation or configuration The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove, reinstall, or maintenance system using their respective commands"),

- receiving from the application a call to initialize an application installation operation (col. 7:21-30, "The Installation, Configuration, Removal or Reinstallation commands attributes 106-112 provide the means to process the application-in-plan object on the workstation-in-plan objects in the workstation group-in-plan object in the Plan object. These attributes help to uniquely identify the application from other applications and to specify the commands to execute (i.e. initialize) for the specified action, e.g., installation or configuration The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove, reinstall, or maintenance system using their respective commands", and col. 5:53-54, "procedures which (initialize an application installation operation and continue to) track the current state of installation"),

- receiving from the application a call to finalize the application installation operation (col. 7:21-30, "The Installation, Configuration, Removal or Reinstallation commands attributes 106-112 provide the means to process the application-in-plan object on the workstation-in-plan objects in the workstation group-in-plan object in the Plan object. These attributes help to uniquely identify the application from other applications and to specify the commands to execute (i.e. finalize) for the specified action, e.g., installation or configuration The action type attribute 114 denotes the type

Art Unit: 2192

of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove, reinstall, or maintenance system using their respective commands", and col. 5:53-54, "procedures which (finalize an application installation operation and report) .. the current state of installation").

As per claim 2, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said application installation operation is at least one of the following: install, downsize, reinstall, uninstall (col. 7:28-30, "The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove (i.e. downsize/uninstall), reinstall, or maintenance").

As per claim 3, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that if the application installation operation is not executed successfully by the application, receiving a call to abort the application installation operation (col. 5:53-54, "procedures which track the current state of installation ensure that each step (is executed successfully)").

As per claim 4, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London

Shrader discloses that said call to initialize the application installation operation is

InitializeInstall, and said call to finalize the application installation operation is

FinalizeInstall (col. 5:53-54, "procedures which (initialize an application installation operation and continue to) track the current state of installation", and col. 5:53-54,

"procedures which (finalize an application installation operation and continue to report) ... the current state of installation", The London Shrader system performs the functionality of this claim. The examiner notes that claiming a specific name for this exhibited functionality is non-functional descriptive material. Merely claiming Non-functional descriptive material does not make this claim patentable).

As per claim 5, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London

Shrader discloses that said call to initialize the application installation operation is

InitializeDownsize, and said call to finalize the application installation operation is

FinalizeDownsize (col. 7:28-30, "The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove (i.e. downsize/uninstall), reinstall, or maintenance". The London Shrader system performs the functionality of this claim. The examiner notes that claiming a specific name for this exhibited functionality is non-functional descriptive material. Merely claiming Non-functional descriptive material does not make this claim patentable).

As per claim 6, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to initialize the application installation operation is InitializeReInstall, and said call to finalize the application installation operation is FinalizeReInstall (col. 7:28-30, "The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove (i.e. downsize/uninstall), reinstall, or maintenance". The London Shrader system performs the functionality of

Art Unit: 2192

this claim. The examiner notes that claiming a specific name for this exhibited functionality is non-functional descriptive material. Merely claiming Non-functional descriptive material does not make this claim patentable).

As per claim 7, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to initialize the application installation operation is InitializeUnInstall, and said call to finalize the application installation operation is FinalizeUnInstall (col. 7:28-30, "The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove (i.e. downsize/uninstall), reinstall, or maintenance". The London Shrader system performs the functionality of this claim. The examiner notes that claiming a specific name for this exhibited functionality is non-functional descriptive material. Merely claiming Non-functional descriptive material does not make this claim patentable).

As per claim 8, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses receiving a call from the application to get a property related to performing an application installation operation (col. 5:25-28, "A response file is a flat ASCII file that contains a set of responses to a corresponding set of questions (i.e. get property calls) asked by the program during the installation and/or configuration process.").

As per claim 9, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to set a property is SetProperty (col. 7:28-30, "The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure (i.e. set property), remove (i.e. downsize/uninstall), reinstall, or maintenance". The London Shrader system performs the functionality of this claim. The examiner notes that claiming a specific name for this exhibited functionality is non-functional descriptive material. Merely claiming Non-functional descriptive material does not make this claim patentable).

As per claim 10, this is a computer readable medium/product version of the claimed method discussed above, in claim 1, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. Additionally, such a product is deemed to be inherent in the system, otherwise, it would be inoperative.

As per claim 13, the rejection of claim 12 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to SetProperty includes a parameter identifying APP_PROPERTY_COMPANYNAME as the property that is being set (col. 6:41-43, "The Group Container (i.e. a group of workstations belonging to a company/entity) 54 is used to hold one or more workstation Group objects 200 which are defined by the administrator.").

Art Unit: 2192

As per claim 14, the rejection of claim 13 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to SetProperty further includes a parameter for pointing to a string identifying a company (col. 6:41-43, "The Group Container (i.e. a group of workstations belonging to a company/entity) 54 is used to hold one or more workstation Group objects 200 which are defined by the administrator.").

As per claim 19, the rejection of claim 12 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that receiving a call to SetProperty having a parameter identifying APP_PROPERTY_SIGNATURE as the property that is being set (col. 7:16-18, "The Short Name attribute 102 is used to uniquely identify the object (i.e. an objects signature) within the Network Installation Program."),

- receiving a call to SetProperty having a parameter identifying

APP_PROPERTY_CATEGORY as the property that is being set (col. 6:43-45,

"Workstation Group objects 200 contain Workstation objects 225 which represent
workstations which are to be installed with similar software (i.e. the same category of workstations)"),

- receiving a call to SetProperty having a parameter identifying

APP_PROPERTY_ESTIMATEDINSTALLSIZE as the property that is being set (col. 7:14-16, "Application and application-in-plan objects have a series of attributes (i.e. properties) to define the application object instance (i.e. the estimated install size)").

Art Unit: 2192

As per claim 22, the rejection of claim 21 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to GetProperty has a parameter identifying APP_PROPERTY_GUID as the application property being retrieved (col. 7:16-18, "The Short Name attribute 102 is used to uniquely identify the object (i.e. a GUID) within the Network Installation Program.").

As per claim 23, the rejection of claim 21 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses that said call to GetProperty has a parameter for pointing to a string containing a GUID value identifying the application that is the object of the install operation (col. 7:16-18, "The Short Name attribute 102 is used to uniquely identify the object (i.e. a GUID) within the Network Installation Program.").

As per claim 24, the rejection of claim 22 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses:

- receiving a call to GetProperty having a parameter identifying

APP_PROPERTY_ROOTPATH as the application property that is being retrieved

(col. 8:2-4, "The Real File Name attribute 152 is the fully qualified path to the response file to be used during the processing of the application."),

- receiving a call to GetProperty having a parameter identifying

APP_PROPERTY_SETROOTPATH as the application property that is being

retrieved (col. 8:2-4, "The Real File Name attribute 152 is the fully qualified path to the response file to be used during the processing of the application.").

Art Unit: 2192

As per claim 25, the rejection of claim 12 is incorporated, and further London Shrader discloses:

- receiving a call to SetProperty having a parameter identifying

APP_PROPERTY_EXECUTECMDLINE as the application property that is being set

(col. 9:45-50, "The Install Script attribute 313 indicates the code server object and

optionally the directory path where the client workstations can access their install script

files (i.e. execution command line) which indicate the commands and order in which

applications should be installed and configured on workstations"),

- receiving a call to SetProperty having a parameter identifying

APP_PROPERTY_DEFAULTSETUPEXECMDLINE as the application property that

is being set (col. 9:45-50, "The Install Script attribute 313 indicates the code server

object and optionally the directory path where the client workstations can access their install script files (i.e. default setup command line) which indicate the commands and order in which applications should be installed and configured on workstations").

As per claims 11, 12, 15-18, 20, 21 and 26, this is another method version of the claimed method discussed above, in claims 1-23, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. For example, see London Shrader's install plan object for network installation of application programs (col. 15:60-18:25).

Art Unit: 2192

As per claims 27-34, this is another method version of the claimed method discussed above, in claims 1-23, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. For example, see London Shrader's install plan object for network installation of application programs (col. 15:60-18:25).

As per claims 35-42, this is another method version of the claimed method discussed above, in claims 1-23, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. For example, see London Shrader's install plan object for network installation of application programs (col. 15:60-18:25).

As per claims 43-51, this is another method version of the claimed method discussed above, in claims 1-23, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. For example, see London Shrader's install plan object for network installation of application programs (col. 15:60-18:25).

As per claim 52, this is a system version of the claimed method discussed above, in claim 1, wherein all claimed limitations have also been addressed and/or cited as set forth above. For example, see London Shrader's install plan object for network installation of application programs (col. 15:60-18:25).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicants arguments have been considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2192

In the remarks, the applicant has argued substantially that:

1) Shrader does not disclose receiving, from the application, a call to set a property related to performing an application installation operation, at p. 13:9-11.

Page 12

Examiner's response:

The examiner disagrees with applicant's characterization of the applied art. Shrader does disclose receiving, from the application, a call to set a property related to performing an application installation operation, as described at col. 7:21-30, "The Installation, Configuration, Removal or Reinstallation commands attributes 106-112 provide the means to process the application-in-plan object on the workstation-in-plan objects in the workstation group-in-plan object in the Plan object. These attributes (i.e. properties) help to uniquely identify the application from other applications and to specify the commands to execute for the specified action, e.g., installation or configuration The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove, reinstall, or maintenance system using their respective commands", and in the art rejection, above.

In the remarks, the applicant has argued substantially that:

2) London Shrader does not disclose receiving, from the application, a call to initialize an application installation operation, at p. 13:17-18.

Art Unit: 2192

Examiner's response:

2) The examiner disagrees with applicant's characterization of the applied art. London Shrader does disclose receiving, from the application, a call to initialize an application installation operation, as described at col. 7:21-30, "The Installation, Configuration, Removal or Reinstallation commands attributes 106-112 provide the means to process the application-in-plan object on the workstation-in-plan objects in the workstation group-in-plan object in the Plan object. These attributes help to uniquely identify the application from other applications and to specify the commands to execute (i.e. initialize) for the specified action, e.g., installation or configuration The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove, reinstall, or maintenance system using their respective commands", and in the

In the remarks, the applicant has argued substantially that:

3) London Shrader does not disclose receiving, from the application, a call to finalize the application installation operation, at p. 13:24-25.

Examiner's response:

art rejection, above.

The examiner disagrees with applicant's characterization of the applied art.

London Shrader does disclose receiving, from the application, a call to finalize the application installation operation, as described at col. 7:21-30, "The Installation, Configuration, Removal or Reinstallation commands attributes 106-112 provide the

Art Unit: 2192

means to process the application-in-plan object on the workstation-in-plan objects in the workstation group-in-plan object in the Plan object. These attributes help to uniquely identify the application from other applications and to specify the commands to execute (i.e. finalize) for the specified action, e.g., installation or configuration The action type attribute 114 denotes the type of processing for this application, i.e. install, configure, remove, reinstall, or maintenance system using their respective commands", and in the art rejection, above.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre R. Fowlkes whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 2192

Page 15

272-3697. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571)272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ARF

WEI Y. ZHEN
REMARY EXAMINER